#### **DESIS / EnMAP L2A processor**

#### R. de los Reyes on behalf the DESIS / EnMAP GS

EOC, DLR



# Knowledge for Tomorrow



# DESIS / EnMAP – L2A processor

#### **PACO – Overview : Atmospheric Correction for Land**



## Validation of Bottom-Of-Atmosphere (BOA)



# L2A – BOA validation: Gobabeb

- BOA reflectance after atmospheric correction: processor **consistency** through **multi- and hyper-spectral** sensors
   de los Reyes, et al., 2020, Sensors
- 7 scenes for SZA < 30°, off-nadir <  $10^{\circ}$
- ROI = 500 m ( $U_{RCN}$  < 3%) < 5% (requirement)





Dominated by the blue wavelengths (AOT)







# L2AF – BOA validation (AOT Forced): Gobabeb

7 scenes for SZA < 30°, off-nadir < 10°</li>
BOA uncertainty (ρ < 10%) comes from AOT uncertainty</li>





 $U_{\rho,RCN} = (0.04 \pm 0.02) * \rho_{RCN} + (0.011 \pm 0.006)$ 



 $U_{\rho,RCN} = (0.014 \pm 0.002) * \rho_{RCN} + (0.005 \pm 0.000)$ 



#### L2A – comparison with other sensors

- Cross-comparison between sensors ground reflectance (ρ) (PACO products):
  - Minimization of uncertainties due to difference in processors and LUTs
  - *Qualitative* evaluation < 1  $\sigma$ .





Barreal Blanco (PICS) 12.03.2019  $\Delta t \sim 50 \text{ min.}$  $\Theta_{sun} \sim 40^{\circ}$  $\theta_{S2,L8, DESIS} < 10^{\circ}$ 

All three sensors processed with PACO: U\_{Si} = 0.04 \*  $\rho_{\text{BOA}}$  + 0.011

#### **Conclusions from DESIS / EnMAP L2A validation**

- DESIS / EnMAP L2A in agreement with ground measurements and other sensors.
- Atmosphere characterization: linear function (AOT/WV) + OFFSET is still possible
- Surface reflectance (BOA):
  - <u>RadCalNet Gobabeb</u>: U<sub>BOA</sub> < 5% (AOT < 0.1, SZA < 30° and off-nadir < 10°)</li>
  - Consistent results with multi-spectral sensors:
    - Uncertanties of Sentinel-2 with RadCalNet
    - Cross-validation with sensors (Landsat-8, Sentinel-2): PICs sites.
  - $U_{BOA}(1\sigma) = 0.04 * \rho_{BOA} + 0.011 \rightarrow$  non-linear fit needed for BOA requirements.
- More in-situ data (AOT, ρ<sub>BOA</sub>) will help in the uncertainty estimation.

**Backup slides** 



Knowledge for Tomorrow



## L2A – BOA validation: La Crau

- BOA reflectance after atmospheric correction with  $\rm N_{DDV}$  > 5%
- SZA < 30° and off-nadir < 10°
- ROI = 500 m (U<sub>RCN</sub> < 5%)





#### **PACO:** Python-based Atmospheric Correction



- Correct the Earth's atmosphere effects (i.e. absorption and scattering) in the data from a remote sensing sensor (Top-Of-Atmosphere, L1C)
- Result: Bottom-Of-Atmosphere (L2A) reflectance, i.e. percentage or fraction of sun light reflected by the Earth ground.



#### L2A – AOT validation



de los Reyes, et al., 2020, Sensors

1.4

 $U_{AOT} = 0.29 * AOT (550 nm) + 0.03$ 

- N=47
- > 5% DDV pixel
- ROI: 9km
- Higher uncertainty in VNIR sensors.
- RMSE ~ 0.15 (preliminary)

 $U_{AOT} = -(0.6 \pm 0.3) * AOT (550 nm) + (0.2 \pm 0.0)$ 

#### Not as many scenes as for Sentinel-2



#### L2A – WV validation



 $U_{WV} = (0.08 \pm 0.02) * WV (cm) + (0.06 \pm 0.03)$ 



 $U_{WV} = 0.02 * WV (cm) + 0.13$ 

- N=141
- ROI: 9 km
- Mean over clear land pixels
- Improvement in estimation in hyperspectral vs multispectral.



### **Conclusions from DESIS / EnMAP L2A validation**

- DESIS / EnMAP L2A in agreement with ground measurements and other sensors.
- Atmosphere characterization:
  - **RMSE<sub>AOT</sub> ~ 0.15** (DDV > 5%) (preliminary)
  - U<sub>wv</sub> (1σ) < (8 ± 2) %, with an offset of (0.06 ± 0.03) cm
- Surface reflectance (BOA):
  - <u>RadCalNet Gobabeb</u>: U<sub>BOA</sub> < 5% (AOT < 0.1, SZA < 30° and off-nadir < 10°)
  - Consistent results with multi-spectral sensors:
    - Uncertanties of Sentinel-2 with RadCalNet
    - Cross-validation with sensors (Landsat-8, Sentinel-2): PICs sites.
  - U<sub>BOA</sub> (1σ) = 0.04 \* ρ<sub>BOA</sub> + 0.011 (preliminary) gives < 1σ difference in La Crau, including AOT estimation with DDV pixels.</li>
- More in-situ data (AOT, ρ<sub>BOA</sub>) will help in the uncertainty estimation.